

Zentrum für Material- und Küstenforschung

## *Final Draft* of the original manuscript:

Apel, C.; Tang, J.; Ebinghaus, R.: Environmental occurrence and distribution of organic UV stabilizers and UV filters in the sediment of Chinese Bohai and Yellow Seas In: Environmental Pollution 235 (2018) 85 - 94

First published online by Elsevier: December 21, 2017

DOI: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.051 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.051

Supplemental material (Appendix A) embodied in the Final Draft.

Research data for this article: https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.886239

- Environmental occurrence and distribution of organic UV stabilizers and UV filters in the sediment of
   Chinese Bohai and Yellow Seas
- 3 Christina Apel <sup>1,3\*</sup>, Jianhui Tang<sup>2\*</sup>, Ralf Ebinghaus<sup>1</sup>
- 4 1 Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Institute of Coastal Research, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany
- 5 2 Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yantai 264003, China
- 6 3 University of Hamburg, Institute of Inorganic and Applied Chemistry, 20146 Hamburg, Germany
- 7
- 8 \* Corresponding authors. Email-addresses: christina.apel@hzg.de; jhtang@yic.ac.cn
- 9

#### 10 Abstract

11 Organic UV stabilizers and UV filters are applied to industrial materials and cosmetics worldwide. In 12 plastics they prevent photo-induced degradation, while in cosmetics they protect human skin against 13 harmful effects of UV radiation. This study reports on the occurrence and distribution of organic 14 UV stabilizers and UV filters in the surface sediment of the Chinese Bohai and Yellow Seas for the first 15 time. In total, 16 out of 21 analyzed substances were positively detected. Concentrations ranged from 16 sub-ng/g dw to low ng/g dw. The highest concentration of 25 ng/g dw was found for octocrylene (OC) in the Laizhou Bay. In the study area, characteristic composition profiles could be identified. In Korea Bay, 17 18 the dominating substances were OC and ethylhexyl salicylate (EHS). All other analytes were below their 19 method quantification limit (MQL). Around the Shandong Peninsula, highest concentrations of 20 benzotriazole derivatives were observed in this study with octrizole (UV-329) as the predominant compound, reaching concentrations of 6.09 ng/g dw. The distribution pattern of UV-329 and bumetrizole 21 22 (UV-326) were related (Pearson correlation coefficient r > 0.98, p << 0.01 around the Shandong 23 Peninsula), indicating an identical input pathway and similar environmental behavior.

24

#### 25 Capsule

This study reveals environmental levels of UV stabilizers and UV filters in the Chinese Bohai and YellowSeas for the first time.

#### 29 Keywords

30 UV stabilizers; UV filters; Benzotriazole derivatives; Marine sediments; Bohai Sea; Yellow Sea

31

#### 32 Highlights

- First report on environmental levels of UV stabilizers in the Chinese Bohai and Yellow Seas
- Triazine derivatives EHT and DBT were identified in marine sediments
- Regional composition profiles of UV stabilizer contamination were revealed
- Highest concentrations found for OC with 25 ng/g dw in the outer Laizhou Bay

37

#### 38 **1.** Introduction

39 UV absorbing compounds are widely used in personal care products, textiles, plastics, paints, adhesives, 40 rubber, and other industrial products (Avagyan et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2011b; Ramos et al., 2015). 41 Depending on their purpose, they can be divided into two categories as described by Langford et al. 42 (2015): i) UV stabilizers are added to plastic products (and other materials) to prevent UV-induced 43 degradation and discoloring of the product and ii) UV filters are used in personal care products (PCPs) 44 such as sunscreens and cosmetics to protect human skin and hair against UV radiation. Some compounds 45 are used for both purposes, whereby a strict differentiation is not possible. Therefore, the term 46 "UV stabilizer" is used for all compounds in the following.

UV stabilizers used in PCPs are regulated worldwide. In Europe, Annex VI of the Cosmetic Regulation No.
1223/2009 (European Commission, 2017) provides a positive list of substances allowed in cosmetic
products. In China, the same substances are permitted as in Europe.

50 The input pathways of UV stabilizers to the marine environment are mainly indirect, through wastewater 51 treatment plant discharges, or direct, through recreational activities such as bathing and swimming 52 (Poiger et al., 2004; Ramos et al., 2016). Microplastic and plastic debris are also discussed as potential 53 sources of plastic additives (Cole et al., 2011; Rani et al., 2015; Rani et al., 2017). 54 Some UV stabilizers are currently listed as High Production Volume Chemicals (HPVC) by the OECD 55 (2017). Due to the widespread usage and high production volumes, UV stabilizers are present in various 56 environmental matrices. UV stabilizers have been reported worldwide in water (Balmer et al., 2005; 57 Cunha et al., 2015b; Kameda et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014; Tsui et al., 2014), suspended particulate matter 58 (Wick et al., 2016), house dust (Kim et al., 2012), sediment (Kameda et al., 2011; Langford et al., 2015; 59 Nakata et al., 2009; Wick et al., 2016), and biota (Cunha et al., 2015a; Gago-Ferrero et al., 2012; Langford 60 et al., 2015; Nakata et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2015; Sang and Leung, 2016; Wick et al., 2016). For example, 61 Huang et al. (2016) had identified three UV stabilizers in sediment samples from China's Pearl River 62 estuary with the highest concentrations of ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate (EHMC) and octocrylene (OC) 63 (around 0.5 µg/g dw) in fishing harbors. Most UV stabilizers are hydrophobic compounds with high octanol-water partition coefficients ( $logK_{ow} > 3$ ) and show significant accumulation potentials in 64 65 suspended matter, sediments, and biota. Some substances show persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic 66 (PBT) properties. For this reason, four benzotriazole UV stabilizers are currently listed as Substances of 67 Very High Concern (SVHC) under the EU legislation REACH (ECHA, 2017a). Namely, these substances are 68 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-di-tert-butylphenol (UV-320), 2,4-di-tert-Butyl-6-(5-chlorobenzotriazol-2-yl) 69 phenol (UV-327), 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-propyl) phenol (UV-328), and 70 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-6-butan-2-yl-4-tert-butylphenol (UV-350). In addition, EHMC is included in the 71 Watch List to be monitored under the Water Framework Directive (European Commission, 2015).

72 The widespread contamination and the resulting potential exposure of UV stabilizers have raised 73 increasing concern about their impact on ecosystems and human health. There is increasing evidence to 74 the adverse effects of UV stabilizers. Many UV stabilizers, such as benzophenones, camphor and 75 cinnamate derivatives, have been identified as potential endocrine disruptors (Balazs et al., 2016; Wang 76 et al., 2016). Several compounds are a major cause of coral bleaching (Danovaro et al., 2008) and show 77 hormonal activity and toxicity in in vivo rat model systems (Rainieri et al., 2017). So far, for benzotriazole 78 UV stabilizers no estrogenic and androgenic activities have been observed in zebrafish eleuthero-79 embryos (Fent et al., 2014), but exposure to UV-P and UV-326 may lead to metabolic imbalance and 80 developmental toxicity (Fent et al., 2014). At environmental concentration levels, benzotriazole 81 UV stabilizers were shown to have no acute toxicity in Daphnia (Kim et al., 2011a). Toxicity studies 82 showed that direct contact with UV-P might cause acute effects such as dermatitis and skin irritation 83 (Yamano et al., 2001). Benzotriazole UV stabilizers interacted with the human serum albumin (Zhuang et 84 al., 2016) and showed activity toward the human aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), which could adversely 85 affect the immune response (Nagayoshi et al., 2015).

While the widespread occurrence of UV stabilizers is known, only few studies focused on the marine environment. The main aim of this study was to assess the significance of UV stabilizers as emerging contaminants in the sediment of the Chinese Bohai and Yellow Seas.

The Bohai Sea is surrounded by the Bohai Economical Rim (BER), which is the largest economic engine in North China. It includes megacities such as Beijing and Tianjin and comprises parts of the Hebei, Shandong, and Liaoning provinces. Many chemical pollutants, such as per- and polyfluorinated substances (Heydebreck et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2014) and organophosphate esters (Wang et al., 2015) are transported by rivers into the Bohai Sea and pose a great risk for aquatic wildlife (Zhang et al., 2017). Large volumes of domestic and industrial discharges are entering the Bohai Sea as well as the Yellow Sea, significantly deteriorating the water quality (Wang et al., 2015; Zhong et al., 2018).

In this work, surface sediment samples were collected and analyzed for 20 UV stabilizers and one
hindered amine light stabilizer (HALS) to i) evaluate the current pollution status, ii) characterize regions,
iii) identify potential contamination sources, and iv) give a preliminary risk evaluation of UV stabilizers in
the Bohai and Yellow Seas.

100

#### 101 2. Material and Methods

#### 102 2.1 Chemicals

103 Enzacamene (4-MBC), Iscotrizinol (DBT), Ethylhexyl triazone (EHT), Ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate 104 (EHMC), Octocrylene (OC), Bumetrizole (UV-326), 2,4-di-tert-Butyl-6-(5-chlorobenzotriazol-2-yl) phenol 105 (UV-327), 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-propyl) phenol (UV-328), Ethylhexyl 106 methoxycinnamate- $d_{15}$  (EHMC- $d_{15}$ ), Homosalate- $d_4$  (HMS- $d_4$ ), Octocrylene- $d_{15}$  (OC- $d_{15}$ ), and 107 Benzophenone-d<sub>10</sub> (BP-d<sub>10</sub>) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). Oxybenzone (BP-3), 108 Octabenzone (BP-12), Ethylhexyl salicylate (EHS), Bis[4-(2-phenyl-2-propyl)phenyl] amine (HALS-445), 109 Homosalate (HMS), Amiloxate (IAMC), Padimate O (OD-PABA), Drometrizole (UV-P), 2-(5-tert-butyl-2hydroxyphenyl) benzotriazole (UV-PS), Octrizole (UV-329), and 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-bis-(2-110 phenylpropan-2-yl) phenol (UV-234) were obtained from TCI Deutschland GmbH (Germany). 111 112 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-6-butan-2-yl-4-tert-butylphenol (UV-350), 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-di-tert-113 butylphenol (UV-320), and 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4-methyl-6-(2-propenyl) phenol (Allyl-bzt) were supplied by amchro GmbH (Germany). Enzacamene- $d_4$  (4-MBC- $d_4$ ) and Oxybenzone- $^{13}C_6$  (BP-3- $^{13}C_6$ ) were 114 115 distributed by EQ Laboratories GmbH (Germany) and LGC Standards GmbH (Germany), respectively. 116 2-(2-Hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)-benzotriazole-d<sub>4</sub> (UV-P-d<sub>4</sub>), 2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-117 propyl) phenol-d<sub>4</sub> (UV-328-d<sub>4</sub>), and Perfluoro-1-[ $^{13}C_8$ ]-octanesulfonamide (FOSA- $^{13}C_8$ ) were supplied by 118 CAMPRO Scientific GmbH (Germany). Further information such as structures, CAS-No., chemical names, 119 and purities can be found in Tables S1 and S2 of the Supplementary Material.

Dichloromethane (Picograde) was purchased from LGC Standards (Germany). Both methanol (LiChrosolv,
 hypergrade for LC-MS) and toluene (LiChrosolv, for liquid chromatography) were purchased from Merck
 (Germany). Deionized water was supplied from a Milli-Q Integral 5 system (Germany).

123

#### 124 2.2 Study area and sample collection

The Bohai and Yellow Seas are semi-enclosed marginal seas in the northwest Pacific Ocean. The Yellow Sea is surrounded by the west coast of the Korean Peninsula and the east coast of China. It has an area of approximately 380,000 km<sup>2</sup> (Song, 2010) and an average depth of 44 m (Song, 2010). Its northern extension is referred to as Korea Bay and in the northwest, the Yellow Sea is connected to the Bohai Sea through the Bohai Strait. The Bohai Sea has an area of approximately 77,000 km<sup>2</sup> (Song, 2010) and features three bays, one of them being Laizhou Bay in the south.

Surface sediment samples from the Bohai and Yellow Seas were collected in June and July 2016 on the Chinese research vessel *Dongfanghong 2* sampling campaign. Surface sediment samples from Laizhou Bay were collected in July 2016 on the *Chuangxin 1* sampling cruise. On both campaigns the samples were taken using a stainless-steel box corer and the top 10 cm of sediment was collected. Samples were put afterward into pre-cleaned (cleaned with acetone and baked out at 250 °C) aluminum bowls and stored at -20 °C until sample preparation. Detailed sampling information can be found in Table S7 of the Supplementary Material.

138

#### 139 2.3 Sample preparation

The wet sediment samples were freeze-dried (Christ Alpha 1-4 LDplus, Germany) and homogenized using a laboratory mortar. Large pieces (such as seashells and stones) were removed. Afterwards, extraction and clean-up were performed simultaneously using an accelerated solvent extraction (DIONEX ASE-350) method. For this, 22 mL stainless steel ASE cells were filled in layers with 3 g of 10% deactivated silica and 5 - 8 g of dried sediment. The sediment samples were spiked with the isotopically labeled standards

4-MBC-d<sub>4</sub>, BP-3-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>, EHMC-d<sub>15</sub>, HMS-d<sub>4</sub>, OC-d<sub>15</sub>, and the non-labeled surrogate standard Allyl-bzt. For 145 146 most of the Bohai Sea samples and all Yellow Sea samples Allyl-bzt could be replaced by UV-P-d<sub>4</sub> and 147 UV-328-d<sub>4</sub>, which became commercially available. Depending on the substance response, internal standards were added at absolute quantities of 2-10 ng. The cells were extracted using 148 149 dichloromethane for three 15-min cycles at 100 °C. The ASE extract (~ 40 mL) was solvent-changed to 150 methanol in a rotary evaporator and reduced in volume to 150 µL under a heated nitrogen stream. The 151 reduced extract was 0.2  $\mu$ m-filtered into a LC vial using a Spartan syringe filter ( $\emptyset$  = 13 mm, Whatman, 152 Germany). Finally, 65 µL water was added (to obtain the needed solvent composition for the following LC-MS/MS analysis) and the extract was spiked with 2 ng (absolute) of FOSA-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>8</sub> and BP-d<sub>10</sub> as injection 153 154 standards, resulting in a sample volume of 225 µL. For every sample, double measurements were 155 conducted.

156

#### 157 2.4 LC-MS/MS analysis

158 The instrumental analysis was performed on a UHPLC-MS/MS system (1290 Infinity coupled to 6490 159 triple quadrupole LC/MS; both from Agilent, Germany) equipped with an atmospheric pressure 160 photoionization (APPI) source and both a C18 column (Eclipse Plus RRHD 1.8 µm, 2.1 x 150 mm, Agilent, 161 Germany) and a C8 column (Eclipse Plus RRHD 1.8 µm, 2.1 x 150 mm, Agilent, Germany). The mobile 162 phase consisted of A) water and B) methanol. Separation was achieved at a constant flow rate of 163 0.2 mL/min. The solvent gradient started with an isocratic segment of 70% B (4 min), which was 164 increased to 90% B over 3 min and held constant for another 5 min. Over 1 min, B was further increased 165 to 100% and held constant for 13 min. For enhanced ionization, 5% toluene was added as a dopant post-166 column. Every sample was measured in positive and in negative ionization mode. Further information on 167 the instrumental method is presented in the Supplementary Material.

168

#### 169 2.5 Total organic carbon (TOC) analysis

TOC analysis was carried out with aliquots of the freeze-dried samples using a LECO RC612 multiphase
 (Germany). The TOC<sub>400</sub>-values were determined running a temperature program from 150 °C to 400 °C at
 70 °C/min in an oxygen flow. The final temperature was held for 2 min. For every sample, a double
 measurement was conducted.

#### 175 2.6 Statistics and risk evaluation

176 All statistical analyses were done in OriginPro 9.1 (OriginLab Corporation). Firstly, Shapiro-Wilk and 177 Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality tests were performed. Whenever the results indicated that the 178 UV stabilizer concentrations showed no normal distribution (p < 0.05), logarithmically transformed concentrations values were used for subsequent statistical analyses. To test for significant differences in 179 180 concentration levels in the Laizhou Bay, other parts of the Bohai Sea, and the Yellow Sea, a test for 181 homogeneity of variances (Levene's test) was conducted, followed by a one-way ANOVA test coupled 182 with a Turkey post-hoc test (all at a significance level of 0.05). A Pearson correlation analysis was 183 performed to evaluate correlations between total UV stabilizer concentrations and TOC contents as well 184 as among concentrations of single UV stabilizers. For analyses, concentration values < MDL were treated 185 as zero and for concentration values < MQL, the obtained concentration value was used.

The potential risk was evaluated according to Pintado-Herrera et al. (2017a). Hazard quotients (HQ) were calculated by dividing measured environmental concentrations (MEC) obtained from this study by predicted no effect concentrations (PNEC) obtained from the literature. In case no PNEC values were available, they were calculated from toxicity data by applying an assessment factor of 1000 for acute toxicity data and 100 for chronic toxicity data. For interpretation, HQ values > 1 indicate to an ecological risk.

192

193 2.7 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Because of the widespread presence of UV stabilizers in a variety of laboratory equipment, the use of rubber and plastic materials was avoided to minimize blank contamination during the transport, storage and treatment of the samples. Prior to use, all glassware was cleaned by a laboratory dishwasher, baked at 250 °C, and rinsed with methanol.

For blank determination, sea sand (baked at 400 °C) was placed into the freeze-drying system together with the wet sediment samples and was treated as a sample throughout the entire sample preparation and measuring steps. Normally, each extraction batch (max. 24 ASE cells) consisted of three procedural blanks together with 20 samples (10 sediment samples in double measurement). The mean concentrations of UV stabilizers in the blanks ranged from  $0.6 \pm 0.9$  pg/g (HALS-445) to  $19.6 \pm 6.7$  pg/g

203 (EHS). The OC contamination is higher  $(248 \pm 28 \text{ pg/g})$  and is mainly caused by the freeze drying 204 procedure.

205 Quantification was performed using the internal standard calibration method. Solvent based calibration 206 curves where used to obtain the relative response ratio of the target analyte to the amount of an 207 appropriate mass-labeled standard using a 1/x weighting factor. Substances without isotopically labeled 208 standards available were corrected with the most fitting (in recovery and retention time) surrogate 209 standard. For example, EHS was corrected by HMS-d<sub>4</sub>, as recovery tests with matrix resulted in high 210 accordance of, for example, 105 ± 7% (Supplementary Material, Table S5). The reported concentrations 211 for BP-12 must be considered as semiguantitative, as the resulting concentrations are most likely 212 overestimated due to different properties of BP-12 and the assigned internal standard (BP- $3^{-13}C_6$ ). 213 However, as BP-12 was only found in low concentrations, the semiguantitative results do not have any 214 consequences for discussion. Before and after every sample batch, a 10-point calibration curve 215  $(0.0 - 90 \text{ pg/}\mu\text{L})$  was measured and extended whenever needed (130, 220, 440 pg/ $\mu\text{L})$ . All calibration 216 curves were linear with correlation coefficients > 0.99 for all analytes, except for DBT and EHT, which 217 showed a lower linearity (normally > 0.91). Method detection limits (MDLs) were derived from either the 218 mean blank values plus three times the standard deviation or the signal-to-noise ratio of three (S/N = 3), 219 whichever approach yielded the highest value. Accordingly, method quantification limits (MQLs) were 220 derived from either the mean blank values plus ten times the standard deviation or the signal-to-noise 221 ratio of ten (S/N = 10). MDLs and MQLs were calculated for every sample batch separately. Based on a 222 sample volume of 8 g, blank corrected MDLs ranged from 1 (OD-PABA) to 120 pg/g dw (4-MBC). More 223 information on the method validation is presented in the Supplementary Material.

The mean absolute recoveries of the mass-labeled standards for all Bohai and Yellow Seas samples were 74 ± 27% (4-MBC-d<sub>4</sub>), 56 ± 28% (BP-3-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>), 70 ± 31% (EHMC-d<sub>15</sub>), 58 ± 29% (HMS-d<sub>4</sub>), 72 ± 31% (OC-d<sub>15</sub>),

226 81 ± 22% (UV-P-d<sub>4</sub>), 87 ± 61% (UV-328-d<sub>4</sub>), and 71 ± 39% (Allyl-bzt).

227 All presented concentrations are corrected for recovery and blank.

228

#### 229 3. Results and Discussion

230 3.1 Environmental concentrations of UV stabilizers in the Bohai and Yellow Seas

Organic UV stabilizers could be detected in all surface sediment samples of the Bohai and Yellow Seas.
Concentrations are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1. In 16% of the analyzed samples, all UV stabilizer
concentrations were < MQL. The concentrations of total UV stabilizers (∑ UV stabilizers) ranged from</p>
0.06 to 25.7 ng/g dw with average values of 3.9 ng/g dw for Laizhou Bay, 0.6 ng/g dw for the other parts
of the Bohai Sea, and 2.1 ng/g dw for the Yellow Sea. No statistically different contamination levels were
observed for these regions. The levels of the UV stabilizers UV-PS, UV-350, BP-3, IAMC, and 4-MBC were
below their MDLs in all analyzed samples.

The predominant contaminants were OC and UV-329, accounting for 52% and 15% of total UV stabilizer
contamination in the sediment. The highest detection frequency was found for UV-234 (69%) followed
by UV-326, UV-329, UV-328, and HALS-445 (all > 60%).

A comparison with the concentrations among different regions may be better for understanding the pollution situation in China. Concentrations of UV stabilizers in coastal and marine sediments worldwide were summarized in Table 2.

The UV stabilizer found in highest concentration in this study is OC. It was identified in 46% of samples with highest concentrations up to 25 ng/g dw at the central and outer Laizhou Bay (Figure 1). In the other parts of the Bohai Sea, OC occurred in remarkably lower concentrations (up to 0.3 ng/g dw). These OC concentrations are similar to lower compared to reported coastal concentrations in other studies (Table 2). In the Bohai Sea, all other UV stabilizers occurred in lower concentrations than OC. EHS reached concentrations up to 1.2 ng/g dw, UV-326 up to 0.8 ng/g dw, and UV-327 up to 0.5 ng/g dw in Laizhou Bay; other substance concentrations were  $\leq$  0.2 ng/g dw.

In the North Yellow Sea, both OC and EHS could be quantified in all Korea Bay samples in concentrations
of 1.39 ± 0.71 ng/g dw and 0.76 ± 0.36 ng/g dw, respectively. All other substance concentrations were
< MQL. EHS was found in slightly higher concentrations at the Atlantic Coast, but was not detected in</li>
Hong Kong and Tokyo Bay sediments (Table 2). In Korea, EHS (in addition to EHMC and BP-3) was a main
contaminant in different environmental matrices (Ekpeghere et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2017). In the South
Yellow Sea, OC and EHS were found in highest concentrations of 4.25 ng/g dw, and 0.95 ng/g dw,

Around the Shandong Peninsula, benzotriazole UV stabilizers were the most prominent substance group. The highest benzotriazole concentrations occurred at the northern tip of the peninsula.  $\Sigma$  UV stabilizers was 9.82 ng/g dw with UV-329 (6.09 ng/g dw) and UV-326 (1.96 ng/g dw) as the main contributors.

261 These concentrations were slightly lower than in sediments of the Ariake Sea and the Oslofjord, where 262 highest concentrations of 16 ng/g dw and 25 ng/g dw, respectively were observed for UV-328 (Table 2). 263 In the Pearl River Estuary, UV-326 was the dominating benzotriazole UV stabilizer, followed by UV-328, 264 UV-234, UV-P, UV-329, and UV-327 (Peng et al., 2017). In German river sediments, the dominating 265 substances were UV-360 (bisoctrizole, not analyzed in this study) and UV-326, while UV-329 was found in 266 a median concentration of 2.2 ng/dw (Wick et al., 2016). In contrast to these reports, UV-329 was the 267 dominating substance attributing to 42% of benzotriazole UV stabilizer contamination in this study, 268 followed by UV-326 (23%) and UV-327 (19%). It can be concluded that, while benzotriazole UV stabilizers 269 are applied worldwide, the main compounds seem to be region specific.

270 In recent studies, EHMC was often one of the dominant UV stabilizers in sediments, reaching 271 concentrations of several hundred ng/g dw (Table 2). Interestingly, the concentrations found in this 272 study are much lower even though EHMC is used in > 95% of cosmetics and sunscreens currently 273 marketed in China (Peng et al., 2017).

274 While 4-MBC was one dominant contaminant in Switzerland (Balmer et al., 2005; Poiger et al., 2004), it 275 was not detected in Japan (Table 2), where the use of 4-MBC as sunscreen ingredients is not allowed 276 (Kameda et al., 2011). Its non-detection in this study could also be ascribed to the infrequent use of 277 4-MBC in currently marketed PCPs in China (Peng et al., 2017). A similar observation was made for 278 OD-PABA. While OD-PABA was identified as one of the predominant compounds in Hong Kong and Tokyo 279 Bay sediments (Table 2), it was only a minor contaminant in the Korean environment (Ekpeghere et al., 280 2016; Kim et al., 2017), where OD-PABA is not permitted in personal care products (Ekpeghere et al., 281 2016). In Hong Kong, Tsui et al. (2014) connected the presence of 4-MBC, IAMC and OD-PABA with 282 recreational activities. Consequently, their absence or infrequent detection in this study indicates other 283 sources of UV stabilizers into the Bohai and Yellow Seas.

The infrequent detection of UV-P and the non-detection of BP-3 could possibly be explained by their relatively higher water solubility ( $\log K_{OW}$ -values are 3.00 and 3.52, respectively) compared to the other UV stabilizers analyzed in this study ( $4 < \log K_{OW} \le 17$ , Table S1 of the Supplementary Material). Furthermore, BP-3 is not a major UV stabilizer in sunscreens in China (Liao and Kannan, 2014).



290 Figure 1: UV stabilizer concentrations in surface sediments [ng/g dw] over the entire study area.

291

#### 292 3.2 Distribution pattern of UV stabilizers

While most UV stabilizers are distributed quite homogeneously over the Bohai Sea sampling area, there is an entry of OC into Laizhou Bay. OC has wide dispersive uses in PCPs and other industrial products (ECHA, 2017b). While recreational activities such as swimming (Poiger et al., 2004; Sanchez Rodriguez et al., 2015) are the major input pathway of OC into the environment in other regions, Laizhou Bay is strongly affected by land-based pollutants imported from rivers. Among the rivers leading into the Laizhou Bay, both the Yellow River and the Xiaoqing River are the most important regarding contamination discharges (Heydebreck et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2017). The OC distribution with elevated levels in the Laizhou Bay could possibly be explained by riverine inputs followed by a deposition in the central and outer Laizhou Bay. This agrees with the flow-current patterns (Qiao et al., 2010) in this area.

In the North Yellow Sea, the distribution of UV stabilizers is very interesting. In Korea Bay, OC and EHS are the dominant substances. In contrast to this, benzotriazole UV stabilizers are the most prominent substance group around the Shandong Peninsula. This change in regional contamination composition is graphically shown in Figure 2. The different composition profiles of UV stabilizers in Korea Bay and around the Shandong Peninsula are mixing together in the central North Yellow Sea. This distribution pattern indicates different sources of UV stabilizers deposited into the North Yellow Sea.

308 Like OC, EHS is used in sunscreens worldwide and environmental contaminations are therefore often 309 connected to recreational activities (Sankoda et al., 2015; Tashiro and Kameda, 2013). In Korea Bay 310 however, a direct input through recreational activities seems unlikely, especially as all other compounds 311 approved in sunscreens were < MQL. A moderate correlation of OC and EHS in North Yellow Sea 312 sediments (r = 0.83, p < 0.01) indicates identical sources for both compounds in this region. Apart from 313 recreational activities, the incomplete removal of UV stabilizers in wastewater and sewage treatment 314 plants is a major contributor to their occurrence in the environment (Ekpeghere et al., 2016; Tsui et al., 315 2014). In South Korea, EHS (in addition to EHMC) was the most dominant contaminant in rivers receiving 316 sewage treatment plant discharges, mainly from domestic origins (Ekpeghere et al., 2016; Kim et al., 317 2017). Riverine inputs (e.g., the Yalu River forming the border between China and North Korea or the 318 North Korean Taedong River, Figure 1) derived from domestic or industrial discharges might also be the 319 most likely contamination source in Korea Bay.

320 Around the Shandong Peninsula the concentrations of the benzotriazole derivatives UV-326 and UV-329 321 showed a strong correlation (r > 0.98, p << 0.01). In addition, a moderate correlation could be observed between UV-234 and UV-327 (r > 0.82, p = 0.01). The correlation between the other benzotriazole 322 323 derivatives UV-326, UV-327, UV-328, UV-329, and UV-234 was poor (r < 0.7; p > 0.02). In conclusion, 324 these substances, especially UV-326 and UV-329, seemed to derive from the same sources and to 325 behave similarly in the environment. A correlation between concentrations of benzotriazole 326 UV stabilizers was also reported by Wick et al. (2016) in German river sediments and Nakata et al. (2009) 327 in sediments of the Japanese Ariake Sea even though other substances correlated most. Kameda et al. 328 (2011) investigated the occurrence of UV stabilizers in rivers in Japan and noticed that benzotriazole 329 UV stabilizers accumulated particularly in sediment receiving chemical plant effluent, residential 330 wastewaters, sewage treatment plant effluent, and surface runoff. Similar sources seem likely to be 331 responsible for the detected benzotriazole contamination at the Shandong Peninsula. In China, 332 benzotriazole UV stabilizers are present in sewage sludge collected from wastewater treatment plants, 333 with UV-234, UV-326, UV-329, and UV-328 being the dominant compounds (Ruan et al., 2012; Song et 334 al., 2014). Since most benzotriazole UV stabilizers (except UV-P) are almost completely removed from 335 the final effluent (Liu et al., 2012; Song et al., 2014), the direct effluent discharge of wastewater 336 treatment plants only seems to be of minor importance as a contamination source in this case. In sewage 337 sludge however, benzotriazole UV stabilizers are present in the final stage (Liu et al., 2012) and could 338 reach the environment due to biosolid applications in agriculture. This was demonstrated by Lai et al. 339 (2014) who investigated the occurrence of benzotriazole UV stabilizers in biosolid-amended soils. They 340 positively detected several compounds in soils, with UV-329 being the dominant substance and with the 341 highest concentrations in Shandong (up to  $33.3 \pm 7.3$  ng/g). The results by Lai et al. (2014) underline the 342 importance of UV-329 as a characteristic contaminant in this region and also indicate surface runoff as 343 an important contamination source of UV stabilizers introduced into the environment. Benzotriazole 344 UV stabilizers could then be transported into the Yellow Sea via rivers.

345 Going south from Korea Bay into the South Yellow Sea, the pollution characteristics of Shandong 346 Peninsula and Korea Bay are mixing together as they do in the central North Yellow Sea (Figure 2). The 347 highest UV stabilizer concentrations were found in the northern central part of the South Yellow Sea, 348 where a mud area is located (Yang et al., 2003). Going further to the south or to coastal regions (with the 349 exception of the Shandong Peninsula), UV stabilizer concentrations decrease. Two possible sources of 350 South Yellow Sea contaminations are the Yangtze and Yellow Rivers (Figure 1). Lan et al. (2007) 351 recognized Yangtze River-specific sediment compositions in South Yellow Sea sediments. Yang and Liu 352 (2007) showed that Yellow River-derived sediment is transported from the Bohai Sea along the 353 Shandong Peninsula coast into the South Yellow Sea. The contaminations found in this study are more 354 likely to originate from the Yangtze River and to be transported by ocean currents (Zhong et al., 2018) 355 into the South Yellow Sea. Yellow River-derived contamination seems unlikely, as the contamination 356 pattern changes drastically over the described sediment transportation pathway.





359 Figure 2: Composition profiles of UV stabilizers in different regions of the Bohai and Yellow Seas.

#### 361 *3.3 Correlation with TOC*

The TOC content in the analyzed sediment samples ranged from 0.03 to 0.87% (Table S7, Supplementary 362 363 Material). In Figure S3 of the Supplementary Material, UV stabilizer concentrations are plotted against 364 the TOC content of each sample. No correlation could be observed (r = 0.09, p = 0.44). This is consistent with recent studies (Gago-Ferrero et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2016; Tsui et al., 2015). So far, only Nakata et 365 366 al. (2009) revealed a positive correlation between benzotriazole UV stabilizer concentrations and the 367 TOC content in samples from the Ariake Sea, Japan. The TOC content in the Ariake Sea samples ranged from 2 to 15%, which are much higher values compared to those in this study. The lacking correlation in 368 369 this study could be due to several reasons, such as an inhomogeneous distribution over the sampling 370 area or the ability of UV stabilizers to partition to other phases in dynamic aquatic environments (Tsui et 371 al., 2015). Too low UV stabilizer concentrations (in most samples) or a too narrow TOC range could also result in an indiscernible correlation. 372

373

#### 374 3.4 Qualitative information on DBT and EHT in marine sediments

375 In addition to the findings presented in Figure 1 and Table 1, the UV stabilizers DBT and EHT could be 376 identified in several samples of the Bohai Sea and the Yellow Sea. Because of low recoveries, low 377 reproducibility, and lack of appropriate mass-labeled standards, the applied method is not suitable for 378 quantifying these compounds. Estimated concentrations of the triazine derivatives DBT and EHT in some 379 samples are in the high ng/g dw range. As an example, disregarding possible matrix effects and assuming 380 a theoretical recovery rate of 5%, the concentrations are 750 ng/g dw for DBT and 150 ng/g dw for EHT 381 at the tip of the Shandong Peninsula. Even assuming a recovery rate of 100%, the concentrations are 382 40 ng/g dw and 8 ng/g dw, respectively, and are therefore comparable to or even higher than those of 383 other UV stabilizers in this study. In addition to their use in industrials materials, these substances are 384 approved as ingredients in sunscreen products in Europe (European Commission, 2017), China (following 385 the European Cosmetic Directive), and Australia (only EHT) (Australian Government, 2017). DBT and EHT 386 are highly hydrophobic compounds with calculated logKow values > 14 (Table S1, Supplementary 387 Material), resulting in a high accumulation potential in sediment. So far, environmental data on these 388 compounds are sparse. They have been measured in sewage sludge at concentration levels of several 389 hundred ng/g dw (Kupper et al., 2006; Plagellat et al., 2006; Rodil et al., 2009) and in wastewater (Kupper 390 et al., 2006). To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first time these substances have been 391 found in marine sediments. Further investigations of environmental levels and behavior should be 392 conducted.

393

#### 394 3.5 Potential Environmental Risk

While it has been demonstrated that UV stabilizers pose a risk to aquatic organisms (Sanchez Rodriguez et al., 2015; Tsui et al., 2014), only few studies reported sediment toxicity data and investigated the ecotoxicological impact on benthic organisms, which are especially affected by hydrophobic substances.

398 In vivo toxicity values are available only for EHMC and 4-MBC (Kaiser et al., 2012; Schmitt et al., 2008) for 399 invertebrates. Using these data, Tsui et al. (2015) evaluated the probabilistic risk of sediment associated 400 with EHMC (up to 447 ng/g dw, Table 2). They found it to be > 30% and > 80% in both Hong Kong and 401 Tokyo Bay for the different species. The calculated risk to fish was lower than 20%, but due to their high 402 lipophilicity, UV stabilizers may still pose a threat to aquatic organisms at higher trophic levels by 403 entering the food chain. Using both in vivo and predicted toxicity data, preliminary risk assessments were 404 carried out for six UV stabilizers (OC, EHS, HMS, BP-3, 4-MBC, and EHMC) that were present in the 405 sediment from the Atlantic Coast (Pintado-Herrera et al., 2017a) and of the Pearl River Estuary (Pintado406 Herrera et al., 2017b). At both sites, the HQs for two substances (EHMC and 4-MBC) were >1 and
407 therefore pose a risk to benthic species.

In the present study, the environmental concentrations were lower than in the regions described above. As 4-MBC and BP-3 levels were <MDL in all samples, a potential risk evaluation was only carried out for OC, EHMC, EHS, and HMS. The PNEC values used for calculation are listed in Table S8 (Supplementary Material). The HQ values were <1 for all substances in all samples, which means that according to present knowledge, no adverse effects of UV stabilizers for benthic species are expected in the Bohai and Yellow Seas.

414

#### 415 **4.** Conclusions

416 This study provides environmental levels of UV stabilizers in the Chinese Bohai and Yellow Seas for the 417 first time. 16 out of 21 analyzed UV stabilizers have been identified in marine sediments pointing out the 418 presence of UV stabilizer not only in limnic, riverine, estuarine, and coastal systems but also in the 419 marine environment. They occur ubiquitously over the entire sampling area, underlying the importance 420 for further investigations on their occurrence and impact on these ecosystems. Characteristic pollution 421 profiles and distribution pattern have been identified, which indicate different sources of UV stabilizers 422 introduced into the study area. The results suggest that the Chinese Bohai and Yellow Seas are 423 contaminated mainly by indirect sources via riverine input. More focus should be given to highly 424 hydrophobic UV stabilizers such as triazine derivatives, which could be an important but so far 425 overlooked group of emerging contaminants. Because of limited information available, an environmental 426 risk assessment of UV stabilizers in the investigated area is not yet possible even though a preliminary 427 risk evaluation does not indicate any risk for sediment-associated organisms in the Bohai and Yellow 428 Seas. More sediment toxicity data for benthic organisms are urgently needed to fill this gap in 429 knowledge.

430

#### 431 Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA11020401, KZZD-EW-14,
2013T2Z0032, and 13337KYSB20130013). We gratefully thank the crews of the research vessels
Dongfanghong 2 and Chuangxin 1 for their assistance.

- 437 Table 1: Concentration ranges [ng/g dw], mean concentrations [ng/g dw], and detection frequencies
- [%] of UV stabilizers in the surface sediment of Laizhou Bay (n = 12), the other parts of the Bohai Sea 438
- 439 (n = 22), and the Yellow Sea (n = 40).

Substance	Laizhou Bay (n = 12)			Bohai Sea (excluding Laizhou Bay) (n = 22)			Yellow Sea (n = 40)		
	range [ng/g dw]	Mean* [ng/g dw]	d.f. [%]	range [ng/g dw]	Mean* [ng/g dw]	d.f. [%]	range [ng/g dw]	Mean* [ng/g dw]	d.f. [%]
UV-P	<mdl 0.02<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>50</td><td><mdl 0.06<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>45</td><td><mdl 0.06<="" td="" –=""><td>0.02</td><td>58</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl>	<mql< td=""><td>50</td><td><mdl 0.06<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>45</td><td><mdl 0.06<="" td="" –=""><td>0.02</td><td>58</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl></td></mql<>	50	<mdl 0.06<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>45</td><td><mdl 0.06<="" td="" –=""><td>0.02</td><td>58</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl>	<mql< td=""><td>45</td><td><mdl 0.06<="" td="" –=""><td>0.02</td><td>58</td></mdl></td></mql<>	45	<mdl 0.06<="" td="" –=""><td>0.02</td><td>58</td></mdl>	0.02	58
UV-320	<mql< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>8</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>0</td><td><mdl-0.20< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>15</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.20<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mql<>	<mdl< td=""><td>8</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>0</td><td><mdl-0.20< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>15</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.20<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	8	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>0</td><td><mdl-0.20< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>15</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.20<></td></mdl<>	-	0	<mdl-0.20< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>15</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.20<>	<mql< td=""><td>15</td></mql<>	15
UV-326	<mdl 0.75<="" td="" –=""><td>0.11</td><td>67</td><td><mdl 0.65<="" td="" –=""><td>0.12</td><td>82</td><td><mdl 1.96<="" td="" –=""><td>0.20</td><td>58</td></mdl></td></mdl></td></mdl>	0.11	67	<mdl 0.65<="" td="" –=""><td>0.12</td><td>82</td><td><mdl 1.96<="" td="" –=""><td>0.20</td><td>58</td></mdl></td></mdl>	0.12	82	<mdl 1.96<="" td="" –=""><td>0.20</td><td>58</td></mdl>	0.20	58
UV-327	<mdl 0.50<="" td="" –=""><td>0.17</td><td>67</td><td><mdl 1.22<="" td="" –=""><td>0.17</td><td>82</td><td><mdl 1.23<="" td="" –=""><td>0.10</td><td>35</td></mdl></td></mdl></td></mdl>	0.17	67	<mdl 1.22<="" td="" –=""><td>0.17</td><td>82</td><td><mdl 1.23<="" td="" –=""><td>0.10</td><td>35</td></mdl></td></mdl>	0.17	82	<mdl 1.23<="" td="" –=""><td>0.10</td><td>35</td></mdl>	0.10	35
UV-328	<mdl-0.16< td=""><td>0.04</td><td>58</td><td><mdl -="" 0.12<="" td=""><td>0.04</td><td>91</td><td><mdl-0.41< td=""><td>0.06</td><td>50</td></mdl-0.41<></td></mdl></td></mdl-0.16<>	0.04	58	<mdl -="" 0.12<="" td=""><td>0.04</td><td>91</td><td><mdl-0.41< td=""><td>0.06</td><td>50</td></mdl-0.41<></td></mdl>	0.04	91	<mdl-0.41< td=""><td>0.06</td><td>50</td></mdl-0.41<>	0.06	50
UV-329	<mdl-0.04< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>50</td><td><mdl 0.09<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>73</td><td><mdl 6.09<="" td="" –=""><td>0.53</td><td>65</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl-0.04<>	<mql< td=""><td>50</td><td><mdl 0.09<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>73</td><td><mdl 6.09<="" td="" –=""><td>0.53</td><td>65</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl></td></mql<>	50	<mdl 0.09<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>73</td><td><mdl 6.09<="" td="" –=""><td>0.53</td><td>65</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl>	<mql< td=""><td>73</td><td><mdl 6.09<="" td="" –=""><td>0.53</td><td>65</td></mdl></td></mql<>	73	<mdl 6.09<="" td="" –=""><td>0.53</td><td>65</td></mdl>	0.53	65
UV-234	<mdl 0.05<="" td="" –=""><td>0.02</td><td>67</td><td><mdl 0.25<="" td="" –=""><td>0.03</td><td>86</td><td><mdl 0.39<="" td="" –=""><td>0.07</td><td>60</td></mdl></td></mdl></td></mdl>	0.02	67	<mdl 0.25<="" td="" –=""><td>0.03</td><td>86</td><td><mdl 0.39<="" td="" –=""><td>0.07</td><td>60</td></mdl></td></mdl>	0.03	86	<mdl 0.39<="" td="" –=""><td>0.07</td><td>60</td></mdl>	0.07	60
EHMC	<mdl 0.22<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>33</td><td><mdl-0.24< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>27</td><td><mdl 0.08<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl-0.24<></td></mql<></td></mdl>	<mql< td=""><td>33</td><td><mdl-0.24< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>27</td><td><mdl 0.08<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl-0.24<></td></mql<>	33	<mdl-0.24< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>27</td><td><mdl 0.08<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl-0.24<>	<mql< td=""><td>27</td><td><mdl 0.08<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mql<>	27	<mdl 0.08<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<></td></mdl>	<mdl< td=""><td>15</td></mdl<>	15
EHS	<mdl 1.28<="" td="" –=""><td>0.16</td><td>33</td><td><mql< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>18</td><td><mdl 1.35<="" td="" –=""><td>0.26</td><td>63</td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mql<></td></mdl>	0.16	33	<mql< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>18</td><td><mdl 1.35<="" td="" –=""><td>0.26</td><td>63</td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mql<>	<mdl< td=""><td>18</td><td><mdl 1.35<="" td="" –=""><td>0.26</td><td>63</td></mdl></td></mdl<>	18	<mdl 1.35<="" td="" –=""><td>0.26</td><td>63</td></mdl>	0.26	63
HMS	<mql< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>8</td><td><mdl -="" 0.06<="" td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>59</td><td><mdl 0.94<="" td="" –=""><td>0.03</td><td>25</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mql<>	<mdl< td=""><td>8</td><td><mdl -="" 0.06<="" td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>59</td><td><mdl 0.94<="" td="" –=""><td>0.03</td><td>25</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl></td></mdl<>	8	<mdl -="" 0.06<="" td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>59</td><td><mdl 0.94<="" td="" –=""><td>0.03</td><td>25</td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mdl>	<mql< td=""><td>59</td><td><mdl 0.94<="" td="" –=""><td>0.03</td><td>25</td></mdl></td></mql<>	59	<mdl 0.94<="" td="" –=""><td>0.03</td><td>25</td></mdl>	0.03	25
OC	<mdl 25<="" td="" –=""><td>3.34</td><td>50</td><td><mdl -="" 0.36<="" td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>27</td><td><mdl 4.25<="" td="" –=""><td>0.83</td><td>55</td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mdl>	3.34	50	<mdl -="" 0.36<="" td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>27</td><td><mdl 4.25<="" td="" –=""><td>0.83</td><td>55</td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mdl>	<mdl< td=""><td>27</td><td><mdl 4.25<="" td="" –=""><td>0.83</td><td>55</td></mdl></td></mdl<>	27	<mdl 4.25<="" td="" –=""><td>0.83</td><td>55</td></mdl>	0.83	55
OD-PABA	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>0</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>0</td><td><mdl –<br="">0.004</mdl></td><td><mdl< td=""><td>8</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	-	0	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>0</td><td><mdl –<br="">0.004</mdl></td><td><mdl< td=""><td>8</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	-	0	<mdl –<br="">0.004</mdl>	<mdl< td=""><td>8</td></mdl<>	8
BP-12**	<mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>60</td><td><mdl 0.25<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>57</td><td><mdl-0.16< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>36</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.16<></td></mql<></td></mdl></td></mql<></td></mql<>	<mql< td=""><td>60</td><td><mdl 0.25<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>57</td><td><mdl-0.16< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>36</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.16<></td></mql<></td></mdl></td></mql<>	60	<mdl 0.25<="" td="" –=""><td><mql< td=""><td>57</td><td><mdl-0.16< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>36</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.16<></td></mql<></td></mdl>	<mql< td=""><td>57</td><td><mdl-0.16< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>36</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.16<></td></mql<>	57	<mdl-0.16< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>36</td></mql<></td></mdl-0.16<>	<mql< td=""><td>36</td></mql<>	36
HALS-445	<mql< td=""><td><mql< td=""><td>58</td><td><mdl-0.21< td=""><td>0.022</td><td>86</td><td><mdl-0.18< td=""><td>0.010</td><td>50</td></mdl-0.18<></td></mdl-0.21<></td></mql<></td></mql<>	<mql< td=""><td>58</td><td><mdl-0.21< td=""><td>0.022</td><td>86</td><td><mdl-0.18< td=""><td>0.010</td><td>50</td></mdl-0.18<></td></mdl-0.21<></td></mql<>	58	<mdl-0.21< td=""><td>0.022</td><td>86</td><td><mdl-0.18< td=""><td>0.010</td><td>50</td></mdl-0.18<></td></mdl-0.21<>	0.022	86	<mdl-0.18< td=""><td>0.010</td><td>50</td></mdl-0.18<>	0.010	50

440

\* The mean value was calculated as following: The sum of concentrations in all samples was divided by 441 the total number of samples (including samples < MDL). For calculation, concentration values < MDL 442 were treated as zero and for concentration values < MQL, the calculated concentration value was used.

\*\* The benzophenone derivative BP-12 could only be analyzed in 52 of 74 sediment samples. For some 443 444 sample batches a high bank value occurred during sample preparation whereby an investigation of BP-12 445 for these samples was not possible.

## 447 Table 2: Overview of selected studies reporting UV stabilizer concentrations in coastal and marine

## 448 sediments. All data are in ng/g dw.

UV	This study	Tsui et a	l. (2015)	Nakata et al.	Langford et	Barón et al. (2013)	
stabilizer				(2009)	al. (2015)		
	Bohai and	Hong Kong,	Tokyo Bay,	Ariake Sea,	Oslofjord,	West Coastal	Bays in Chile
	Yellow Seas,	China	Japan	Japan	Norway	Line,	
	China					Colombia	
4-MBC	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td><mdl 7.90<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td><mdl 7.90<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td><mdl 7.90<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td><mdl 7.90<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mdl<>	-	<mdl 7.90<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl>	<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
EHMC	<mdl-0.24< td=""><td>0.6 – 447</td><td>0.3 – 54.5</td><td>-</td><td>8.5 – 16.4</td><td><mdl 17.8<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl></td></mdl-0.24<>	0.6 – 447	0.3 – 54.5	-	8.5 – 16.4	<mdl 17.8<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl>	<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
EHS	<mdl 1.35<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<>	-	-	-	-
HMS	<mdl-0.94< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl-0.94<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<>	-	-	-	-
IAMC	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<>	-	-	-	-
BP-3	<mdl< td=""><td>0.05 – 39.8</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl 2.52<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl 1.42<="" td="" –=""></mdl></td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	0.05 – 39.8	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td><mdl< td=""><td><mdl 2.52<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl 1.42<="" td="" –=""></mdl></td></mdl></td></mdl<></td></mdl<>	-	<mdl< td=""><td><mdl 2.52<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl 1.42<="" td="" –=""></mdl></td></mdl></td></mdl<>	<mdl 2.52<="" td="" –=""><td><mdl 1.42<="" td="" –=""></mdl></td></mdl>	<mdl 1.42<="" td="" –=""></mdl>
OC	<mdl 25<="" td="" –=""><td>0.04 - 15.6</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td><mdl-82.1< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl-82.1<></td></mdl<></td></mdl>	0.04 - 15.6	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td><mdl-82.1< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl-82.1<></td></mdl<>	-	<mdl-82.1< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl-82.1<>	-	-
OD-PABA	<mdl-0.004< td=""><td>1.5 – 150</td><td>0.8 - 13.9</td><td>-</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl-0.004<>	1.5 – 150	0.8 - 13.9	-	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<>	-	-
UV-234	<mdl-0.39< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl-0.39<>	-	-	-	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<>	-	-
UV-320	<mdl-0.20< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.3 – 2.3</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl-0.20<>	-	-	0.3 – 2.3	-	-	-
UV-326	<mdl 1.96<="" td="" –=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1.5 – 12</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl>	-	-	1.5 – 12	-	-	-
UV-327	<mdl 1.23<="" td="" –=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1.6 – 9.9</td><td><mdl-8.1< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl-8.1<></td></mdl>	-	-	1.6 – 9.9	<mdl-8.1< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl-8.1<>	-	-
UV-328	<mdl-0.41< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>2.6 - 16</td><td>3.2 – 25.1</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl-0.41<>	-	-	2.6 - 16	3.2 – 25.1	-	-
UV-329	<mdl-6.09< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td><mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<></td></mdl-6.09<>	-	-	-	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<>	-	-

449

## 450 Table 2 (continued)

UV stabilizer	Pintado-Herrera et al. (2017a)		Combi et al.	Amine et al. (2012)	Sanchez-Brunete et
			(2016)		al. (2011)
	Cadiz Bay,	Huelva Estuary,	Adriatic Sea	Lebanon	Spain
	Atlantic coast of	Atlantic coast of			(one sediment)
	Andalusia (SW	Andalusia (SW			
	Spain)	Spain)			
	(mean	(mean			
	concentrations)	concentrations)			
4-MBC	1.7	<mdl< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></mdl<>	-	-	-
EHMC	7.3	8.0	0.9 - 10.4	up to 9	-
EHS	3.3	5.2	-	-	13.3
HMS	4	1.2	-	-	<mdl< td=""></mdl<>

IAMC	-	-	-	-	-
BP-3	0.7	0.9	<mdl 0.23<="" td="" –=""><td>-</td><td><mdl< td=""></mdl<></td></mdl>	-	<mdl< td=""></mdl<>
OC	20.8	15.1	0.8 - 40.7	up to 79	-
OD-PABA	-	-	-	up to 9	-
UV-234	-	-	-	-	-
UV-320	-	-	-	-	-
UV-326	-	-	-	-	-
UV-327	-	-	-	-	-
UV-328	-	-	-	-	-
UV-329	-	-	-	-	-

452

#### 453 Appendix A. Supplementary Material

- 454
- 455
- 456 457

#### References

- 458 Amine, H., Gomez, E., Halwani, J., Casellas, C., Fenet, H., 2012. UV filters, ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate,
- 459 octocrylene and ethylhexyl dimethyl PABA from untreated wastewater in sediment from eastern
- 460 Mediterranean river transition and coastal zones. Mar Pollut Bull 64, 2435-2442. doi:
- 461 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.07.051
- 462 Australian Government, 2017. Australian regulatory guidelines for sunscreens (ARGS),
- 463 https://www.tga.gov.au/book/9-permitted-ingredients#s91 (accessed 05/2017)
- 464 Avagyan, R., Luongo, G., Thorsen, G., Ostman, C., 2015. Benzothiazole, benzotriazole, and their derivates
- 465 in clothing textiles-a potential source of environmental pollutants and human exposure. Environ Sci
- 466 Pollut Res Int 22, 5842-5849. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3691-0
- 467 Balazs, A., Krifaton, C., Orosz, I., Szoboszlay, S., Kovacs, R., Csenki, Z., Urbanyi, B., Kriszt, B., 2016.
- Hormonal activity, cytotoxicity and developmental toxicity of UV filters. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 131, 4553. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.04.037
- 470 Balmer, M.E., Buser, H.R., Müller, M.D., Poiger, T., 2005. Occurrence of Some Organic UV Filters in
- 471 Wastewater, in Surface Waters, and in Fish from Swiss Lakes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 953-962. doi:
- 472 Barón, E., Gago-Ferrero, P., Gorga, M., Rudolph, I., Mendoza, G., Zapata, A.M., Diaz-Cruz, S., Barra, R.,
- 473 Ocampo-Duque, W., Paez, M., Darbra, R.M., Eljarrat, E., Barcelo, D., 2013. Occurrence of hydrophobic
- 474 organic pollutants (BFRs and UV-filters) in sediments from South America. Chemosphere 92, 309-316.
- 475 doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.03.032
- Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C., Galloway, T.S., 2011. Microplastics as contaminants in the marine
- 477 environment: a review. Mar Pollut Bull 62, 2588-2597. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.09.025
- 478 Combi, T., Pintado-Herrera, M.G., Lara-Martin, P.A., Miserocchi, S., Langone, L., Guerra, R., 2016.
- Distribution and fate of legacy and emerging contaminants along the Adriatic Sea: A comparative study.
- 480 Environ Pollut 218, 1055-1064. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.08.057

- 481 Cunha, S.C., Fernandes, J.O., Vallecillos, L., Cano-Sancho, G., Domingo, J.L., Pocurull, E., Borrull, F.,
- 482 Maulvault, A.L., Ferrari, F., Fernandez-Tejedor, M., Van den Heuvel, F., Kotterman, M., 2015a. Co-
- 483 occurrence of musk fragrances and UV-filters in seafood and macroalgae collected in European hotspots.
- 484 Environ Res 143, 65-71. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2015.05.003
- 485 Cunha, S.C., Pena, A., Fernandes, J.O., 2015b. Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction followed by
- 486 microwave-assisted silylation and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis for simultaneous
- 487 trace quantification of bisphenol A and 13 ultraviolet filters in wastewaters. J Chromatogr A 1414, 10-21.
- 488 doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2015.07.099
- 489 Danovaro, R., Bongiorni, L., Corinaldesi, C., Giovannelli, D., Damiani, E., Astolfi, P., Greci, L., Pusceddu, A.,
- 490 2008. Sunscreens cause coral bleaching by promoting viral infections. Environ Health Perspect 116, 441-
- 491 447. doi: 10.1289/ehp.10966
- 492 ECHA, 2017a. Candidate List of substances of very high concern for Authorisation,
- 493 https://echa.europa.eu/web/guest/candidate-list-table (accessed 09/2017)
- 494 ECHA, 2017b. Substance information on Octocrilene, https://echa.europa.eu/de/substance-
- 495 information/-/substanceinfo/100.025.683 (accessed 11/2017)
- 496 Ekpeghere, K.I., Kim, U.J., O, S.H., Kim, H.Y., Oh, J.E., 2016. Distribution and seasonal occurrence of UV
- 497 filters in rivers and wastewater treatment plants in Korea. Sci Total Environ 542, 121-128. doi:
- 498 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.10.033
- 499 European Commission, 2015. COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2015/495 of 20 March 2015
- 500 establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy pursuant to
- 501 Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Official Journal of the European 502 Union. doi:
- 503 European Commission, 2017. Cosmetic ingredient database,
- 504 https://ec.europa.eu/growth/sectors/cosmetics/cosing\_de (accessed 05/2017)
- 505 Fent, K., Chew, G., Li, J., Gomez, E., 2014. Benzotriazole UV-stabilizers and benzotriazole: Antiandrogenic
- activity in vitro and activation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor pathway in zebrafish eleuthero-embryos. Sci
   Total Environ 482-483, 125-136. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.109
- 508 Gago-Ferrero, P., Diaz-Cruz, M.S., Barcelo, D., 2012. An overview of UV-absorbing compounds (organic
- 509 UV filters) in aquatic biota. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 404, 2597-2610. doi: 10.1007/s00216-012-6067-7
- 510 Gago-Ferrero, P., Díaz-Cruz, M.S., Barceló, D., 2011. Fast pressurized liquid extraction with in-cell
- 511 purification and analysis by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry for the determination of
- 512 UV filters and their degradation products in sediments. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 400, 2195–
- 513 2204. doi: 10.1007/s00216-011-4951-1
- 514 Heydebreck, F., Tang, J., Xie, Z., Ebinghaus, R., 2015. Alternative and Legacy Perfluoroalkyl Substances:
- 515 Differences between European and Chinese River/Estuary Systems. Environ Sci Technol. doi:
- 516 10.1021/acs.est.5b01648
- 517 Huang, W., Xie, Z., Yan, W., Mi, W., Xu, W., 2016. Occurrence and distribution of synthetic musks and
- 518 organic UV filters from riverine and coastal sediments in the Pearl River estuary of China. Mar Pollut Bull.
- 519 doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.07.018
- 520 Kaiser, D., Sieratowicz, A., Zielke, H., Oetken, M., Hollert, H., Oehlmann, J., 2012. Ecotoxicological effect
- 521 characterisation of widely used organic UV filters. Environ Pollut 163, 84–90. doi:
- 522 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.12.014
- 523 Kameda, Y., Kimura, K., Miyazaki, M., 2011. Occurrence and profiles of organic sun-blocking agents in
- 524 surface waters and sediments in Japanese rivers and lakes. Environ Pollut 159, 1570-1576. doi:
- 525 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.02.055
- 526 Kim, J.W., Chang, K.H., Isobe, T., Tanabe, S., 2011a. Acute toxicity of benzotriazole ultraviolet stabilizers
- 527 on freshwater crustacean (Daphnia pulex). J Toxicol Sci 36, 247-251. doi:

- 528 Kim, J.W., Isobe, T., Malarvannan, G., Sudaryanto, A., Chang, K.H., Prudente, M., Tanabe, S., 2012.
- 529 Contamination of benzotriazole ultraviolet stabilizers in house dust from the Philippines: implications on 530 human exposure. Sci Total Environ 424, 174-181. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.02.040
- 531 Kim, J.W., Isobe, T., Ramaswamy, B.R., Chang, K.H., Amano, A., Miller, T.M., Siringan, F.P., Tanabe, S.,
- 532 2011b. Contamination and bioaccumulation of benzotriazole ultraviolet stabilizers in fish from Manila
- 533 Bay, the Philippines using an ultra-fast liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Chemosphere
- 534 85, 751-758. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.054
- 535 Kim, K.Y., Ekpeghere, K.I., Jeong, H.J., Oh, J.E., 2017. Effects of the summer holiday season on UV filter
- 536 and illicit drug concentrations in the Korean wastewater system and aquatic environment. Environ Pollut 537 227, 587-595. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.04.055
- 538 Kupper, T., Plagellat, C., Brandli, R.C., de Alencastro, L.F., Grandjean, D., Tarradellas, J., 2006. Fate and
- 539 removal of polycyclic musks, UV filters and biocides during wastewater treatment. Water Res 40, 2603-540 2612. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2006.04.012
- 541 Lai, H.J., Ying, G.G., Ma, Y.B., Chen, Z.F., Chen, F., Liu, Y.S., 2014. Occurrence and dissipation of
- 542 benzotriazoles and benzotriazole ultraviolet stabilizers in biosolid-amended soils. Environ Toxicol Chem
- 543 33, 761-767. doi: 10.1002/etc.2498
- 544 Lan, X., Wang, H., Li, R., Lin, Z., Zhang, Z., 2007. Major Elements Composition and Provenance Analysis in
- 545 the Sediments of the South Yellow Sea. Earth Science Frontiers 14, 197-203. doi: 10.1016/s1872-
- 5791(07)60033-5 546
- 547 Langford, K.H., Reid, M.J., Fjeld, E., Oxnevad, S., Thomas, K.V., 2015. Environmental occurrence and risk
- 548 of organic UV filters and stabilizers in multiple matrices in Norway. Environ Int 80, 1-7. doi:
- 549 10.1016/j.envint.2015.03.012
- 550 Liao, C., Kannan, K., 2014. Widespread occurrence of benzophenone-type UV light filters in personal care
- 551 products from China and the United States: an assessment of human exposure. Environ Sci Technol 48, 552 4103-4109. doi: 10.1021/es405450n
- 553 Liu, R., Ruan, T., Wang, T., Song, S., Guo, F., Jiang, G., 2014. Determination of nine benzotriazole UV
- 554 stabilizers in environmental water samples by automated on-line solid phase extraction coupled with
- 555 high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Talanta 120, 158-166. doi:
- 556 10.1016/j.talanta.2013.10.041
- 557 Liu, Y.S., Ying, G.G., Shareef, A., Kookana, R.S., 2012. Occurrence and removal of benzotriazoles and
- 558 ultraviolet filters in a municipal wastewater treatment plant. Environ Pollut 165, 225–232. doi: 559 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.10.009
- 560 Nagayoshi, H., Kakimoto, K., Takagi, S., Konishi, Y., Kajimura, K., Matsuda, T., 2015. Benzotriazole
- 561 ultraviolet stabilizers show potent activities as human aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligands. Environ Sci 562 Technol 49, 578-587. doi: 10.1021/es503926w
- 563 Nakata, H., Murata, S., Filatreau, J., 2009. Occurrence and Concentrations of Benzotriazole UV Stabilizers 564 in Marine Organisms and Sediments from the Ariake Sea, Japan. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 6920–6926.
- 565 doi:
- 566 Nakata, H., Shinohara, R., Nakazawa, Y., Isobe, T., Sudaryanto, A., Subramanian, A., Tanabe, S., Zakaria,
- 567 M.P., Zheng, G.J., Lam, P.K., Kim, E.Y., Min, B.Y., We, S.U., Viet, P.H., Tana, T.S., Prudente, M., Frank, D.,
- 568 Lauenstein, G., Kannan, K., 2012. Asia-Pacific mussel watch for emerging pollutants: Distribution of
- 569 synthetic musks and benzotriazole UV stabilizers in Asian and US coastal waters. Mar Pollut Bull 64, 570 2211-2218. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.07.049
- 571 OECD, 2017. HPV database, http://webnet.oecd.org/HPV/UI/Search.aspx (accessed 05/2017)
- 572
- Peng, X., Jin, J., Wang, C., Ou, W., Tang, C., 2015. Multi-target determination of organic ultraviolet
- 573 absorbents in organism tissues by ultrasonic assisted extraction and ultra-high performance liquid
- 574 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 1384, 97-106. doi:
- 575 10.1016/j.chroma.2015.01.051

- Peng, X., Xiong, S., Ou, W., Wang, Z., Tan, J., Jin, J., Tang, C., Liu, J., Fan, Y., 2017. Persistence, temporal
- 577 and spatial profiles of ultraviolet absorbents and phenolic personal care products in riverine and
- 578 estuarine sediment of the Pearl River catchment, China. J Hazard Mater 323, 139-146. doi:
- 579 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.05.020
- 580 Pintado-Herrera, M.G., Combi, T., Corada-Fernandez, C., Gonzalez-Mazo, E., Lara-Martin, P.A., 2017a.
- 581 Occurrence and spatial distribution of legacy and emerging organic pollutants in marine sediments from
- the Atlantic coast (Andalusia, SW Spain). Sci Total Environ 605-606, 980-994. doi:
- 583 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.055
- 584 Pintado-Herrera, M.G., Wang, C., Lu, J., Chang, Y.P., Chen, W., Li, X., Lara-Martin, P.A., 2017b.
- 585 Distribution, mass inventories, and ecological risk assessment of legacy and emerging contaminants in
- 586 sediments from the Pearl River Estuary in China. J Hazard Mater 323, 128-138. doi:
- 587 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.02.046
- 588 Plagellat, C., Kupper, T., Furrer, R., de Alencastro, L.F., Grandjean, D., Tarradellas, J., 2006.
- 589 Concentrations and specific loads of UV filters in sewage sludge originating from a monitoring network in 590 Switzerland. Chemosphere 62, 915-925. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.05.024
- 591 Poiger, T., Buser, H.-R., Balmer, M.E., Bergqvist, P.-A., Müller, M.D., 2004. Occurrence of UV filter
- 592 compounds from sunscreens in surface waters: regional mass balance in two Swiss lakes. Chemosphere
- 593 55, 951-963. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.01.012
- Qiao, S.Q., Shi, X.F., Zhu, A.M., Liu, Y.G., Bi, N.S., Fang, X.S., Yang, G., 2010. Distribution and transport of
- suspended sediments off the Yellow River (Huanghe) mouth and the nearby Bohai Sea. Estuarine Coastal
  and Shelf Science 86, 337-344. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2009.07.019
- 597 Rainieri, S., Barranco, A., Primec, M., Langerholc, T., 2017. Occurence and toxicity of musks and UV filters
- in the marine environment. Food and Chemical Toxicology 104, 57-68. doi:
- Ramos, S., Homem, V., Alves, A., Santos, L., 2015. Advances in analytical methods and occurrence of
- 600 organic UV-filters in the environment A review. Sci Total Environ 526, 278-311. doi:
- 601 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.04.055
- Ramos, S., Homem, V., Alves, A., Santos, L., 2016. A review of organic UV-filters in wastewater treatment
  plants. Environ Int 86, 24-44. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2015.10.004
- Rani, M., Shim, W.J., Han, G.M., Jang, M., Al-Odaini, N.A., Song, Y.K., Hong, S.H., 2015. Qualitative
- Analysis of Additives in Plastic Marine Debris and Its New Products. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 69, 352 366. doi: 10.1007/s00244-015-0224-x
- 607 Rani, M., Shim, W.J., Han, G.M., Jang, M., Song, Y.K., Hong, S.H., 2017. Benzotriazole-type ultraviolet
- 608 stabilizers and antioxidants in plastic marine debris and their new products. Sci Total Environ 579, 745-
- 609 754. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.033
- 610 Rodil, R., Schrader, S., Moeder, M., 2009. Pressurised membrane-assisted liquid extraction of UV filters
- 611 from sludge. J Chromatogr A 1216, 8851-8858. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2009.10.058
- Ruan, T., Liu, R., Fu, Q., Wang, T., Wang, Y., Song, S., Wang, P., Teng, M., Jiang, G., 2012. Concentrations
- and composition profiles of benzotriazole UV stabilizers in municipal sewage sludge in China. Environ Sci
- 614 Technol 46, 2071-2079. doi: 10.1021/es203376x
- 615 Sanchez-Brunete, C., Miguel, E., Albero, B., Tadeo, J.L., 2011. Analysis of salicylate and benzophenone-
- 616 type UV filters in soils and sediments by simultaneous extraction cleanup and gas chromatography-mass
- 617 spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A 1218, 4291–4298. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2011.05.030
- 618 Sanchez Rodriguez, A., Rodrigo Sanz, M., Betancort Rodriguez, J.R., 2015. Occurrence of eight UV filters
- 619 in beaches of Gran Canaria (Canary Islands). An approach to environmental risk assessment.
- 620 Chemosphere 131, 85-90. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.02.054
- 621 Sang, Z., Leung, K.S., 2016. Environmental occurrence and ecological risk assessment of organic UV filters
- 622 in marine organisms from Hong Kong coastal waters. Sci Total Environ 566-567, 489-498. doi:
- 623 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.120

- 624 Sankoda, K., Murata, K., Tanihata, M., Suzuki, K., Nomiyama, K., Shinohara, R., 2015. Seasonal and diurnal
- variation of organic ultraviolet filters from personal care products used along the Japanese coast. Arch
- 626 Environ Contam Toxicol 68, 217-224. doi: 10.1007/s00244-014-0106-7
- 627 Schmitt, C., Oetken, M., Dittberner, O., Wagner, M., Oehlmann, J., 2008. Endocrine modulation and toxic
- 628 effects of two commonly used UV screens on the aquatic invertebrates Potamopyrgus antipodarum and
- 629 Lumbriculus variegatus. Environ Pollut 152, 322-329. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.031
- 630 Song, J., 2010. Biogeochemical Processes of Biogenic Elements in China Marginal Seas. Springer-Verlag631 Berlin Heidelberg.
- 632 Song, S., Ruan, T., Wang, T., Liu, R., Jiang, G., 2014. Occurrence and removal of benzotriazole ultraviolet
- 633 stabilizers in a wastewater treatment plant in China. Environ Sci Process Impacts 16, 1076-1082. doi:
- 634 10.1039/c3em00483j
- Tashiro, Y., Kameda, Y., 2013. Concentration of organic sun-blocking agents in seawater of beaches and
- 636 coral reefs of Okinawa Island, Japan. Mar Pollut Bull 77, 333-340. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.09.013
- Tsui, M.M., Leung, H.W., Kwan, B.K., Ng, K.Y., Yamashita, N., Taniyasu, S., Lam, P.K., Murphy, M.B., 2015.
- 638 Occurrence, distribution and ecological risk assessment of multiple classes of UV filters in marine
- 639 sediments in Hong Kong and Japan. J Hazard Mater 292, 180-187. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.03.025
- Tsui, M.M., Leung, H.W., Wai, T.C., Yamashita, N., Taniyasu, S., Liu, W., Lam, P.K., Murphy, M.B., 2014.
- 641 Occurrence, distribution and ecological risk assessment of multiple classes of UV filters in surface waters
- from different countries. Water Res 67, 55-65. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2014.09.013
- Wang, J., Pan, L., Wu, S., Lu, L., Xu, Y., Zhu, Y., Guo, M., Zhuang, S., 2016. Recent Advances on Endocrine
  Disrupting Effects of UV Filters. Int J Environ Res Public Health 13. doi: 10.3390/ijerph13080782
- 645 Wang, P., Lu, Y., Wang, T., Fu, Y., Zhu, Z., Liu, S., Xie, S., Xiao, Y., Giesy, J.P., 2014. Occurrence and
- 646 transport of 17 perfluoroalkyl acids in 12 coastal rivers in south Bohai coastal region of China with
- 647 concentrated fluoropolymer facilities. Environ Pollut 190, 115-122. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2014.03.030
- 648 Wang, R., Tang, J., Xie, Z., Mi, W., Chen, Y., Wolschke, H., Tian, C., Pan, X., Luo, Y., Ebinghaus, R., 2015.
- 649 Occurrence and spatial distribution of organophosphate ester flame retardants and plasticizers in 40
- 650 rivers draining into the Bohai Sea, north China. Environ Pollut 198, 172-178. doi:
- 651 10.1016/j.envpol.2014.12.037
- Wick, A., Jacobs, B., Kunkel, U., Heininger, P., Ternes, T.A., 2016. Benzotriazole UV stabilizers in
- 653 sediments, suspended particulate matter and fish of German rivers: New insights into occurrence, time
- trends and persistency. Environ Pollut 212, 401-412. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.01.024
- Yamano, T., Shimizu, M., Noda, T., 2001. Relative Elicitation Potencies of Seven Chemical Allergens in the
   Guinea Pig Maximization Test. Journal of Health Science 47, 123-128. doi:
- 657 Yang, S.Y., Jung, H.S., Lim, D.I., Li, C.X., 2003. A review on the provenance discrimination of sediments in
- 658 the Yellow Sea. Earth-Science Reviews 63, 93-120. doi: 10.1016/S0012-8252(03)00033-3
- 659 Yang, Z.S., Liu, J.P., 2007. A unique Yellow River-derived distal subaqueous delta in the Yellow Sea.
- 660 Marine Geology 240, 169-176. doi: 10.1016/j.margeo.2007.02.008
- 661 Yu, S.X., Pang, Y.L., Wang, Y.C., Li, J.L., Qin, S., 2017. Spatial variation of microbial communities in
- sediments along the environmental gradients from Xiaoqing River to Laizhou Bay. Mar Pollut Bull 120,
  90-98. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.04.059
- 664 Zhang, Y., Johnson, A.C., Su, C., Zhang, M., Jurgens, M.D., Shi, Y., Lu, Y., 2017. Which persistent organic
- 665 pollutants in the rivers of the Bohai Region of China represent the greatest risk to the local ecosystem?
- 666 Chemosphere 178, 11-18. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.02.137
- 267 Zhong, M., Wu, H., Mi, W., Li, F., Ji, C., Ebinghaus, R., Tang, J., Xie, Z., 2018. Occurrences and distribution
- 668 characteristics of organophosphate ester flame retardants and plasticizers in the sediments of the Bohai
- and Yellow Seas, China. Science of The Total Environment 615, 1305-1311. doi:
- 670 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.272
- 671 Zhuang, S., Wang, H., Ding, K., Wang, J., Pan, L., Lu, Y., Liu, Q., Zhang, C., 2016. Interactions of
- 672 benzotriazole UV stabilizers with human serum albumin: Atomic insights revealed by biosensors,

- spectroscopies and molecular dynamics simulations. Chemosphere 144, 1050-1059. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.09.085

1	Environmental occurrence and distribution of organic
2	UV stabilizers and UV filters in the sediment of Chinese Bohai
3	and Yellow Seas
4	Supplementary Material
5	
0	
7	Christina Apel <sup>1,3*</sup> , Jianhui Tang <sup>2*</sup> , Ralf Ebinghaus <sup>1</sup>
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Institute of Coastal Research, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany
10	<sup>2</sup> Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yantai 264003, China
11	<sup>3</sup> University of Hamburg, Institute of Inorganic and Applied Chemistry, 20146 Hamburg,
12	Germany
13	

14 \* Corresponding authors. Email-addresses: christina.apel@hzg.de; jhtang@yic.ac.cn

#### 15 1 Chemical properties

15 16 17

#### Table S1: Overview of examined UV stabilizers. The reported logK<sub>ow</sub> values are calculated using KOWWIN v1.68.

Abbreviation	logKow	Sum formula	Structure
4-MBC	5.92	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O	
BP-3	3.52	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	
BP-12/ UV-531	6.96	$C_{21}H_{26}O_3$	
DBT	14	C44H59N7O5	
EHMC/OMC	5.80	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	
EHS	5.97	C15H22O3	
ЕНТ	17	C48H66N6O6	
HALS-445	8.51	C <sub>30</sub> H <sub>31</sub> N	
HMS	6.16	$C_{16}H_{22}O_3$	
IAMC	4.33	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	

ос	6.88	C24H27NO2	
OD-PABA	5.77	C17H27NO2	
UV-P	3.00	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>11</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O	
UV-PS	4.36	C16H17N3O	
UV-326	5.55	C17H18CIN3O	
UV-327	6.91	C20H24CIN3O	
UV-328	7.25	C22H29N3O	
UV-329	6.21	C20H25N3O	
UV-350	6.31	C20H25N3O	HO

UV-320	6.27	C20H25N3O	
UV-234	7.67	C30H29N3O	

Table S2: Analyte abbreviations, chemical names, CAS-No., purities, and producers of the used native and mass-

#### 21 labeled standards.

	Abbre- viation	CAS-No.	Chemical name	Purity [%]	Producer/ Supplier
Target analytes	4-MBC	36861-47-9 (EU) 38102-62-4 (US)	3-(4-Methylbenzylidene)camphor	99.9	Sigma-Aldrich
	BP-3	131-57-7	2-Hydroxy-4- methoxybenzophenone	99.9	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	BP-12/ UV-531	1843-05-6	2-Hydroxy-4-n- octyloxybenzophenone	99.9	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	DBT	154702-15-5	Diethylhexyl butamido triazone	99.5	Sigma-Aldrich
	EHMC/ OMC	5466-77-3	Ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate	98.4	Fluka/ Sigma-Aldrich
	EHS	118-60-5	Benzoic acid, 2-hydroxy-, 2- ethylhexyl ester	98.5	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	EHT	88122-99-0	Ethylhexyl triazone	98.8	Sigma-Aldrich
	HALS-445	10081-67-1	Bis[4-(2-phenyl-2-propyl)phenyl] amine	98.9	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	нмѕ	118-56-9	3,3,5-Trimethylcyclohexyl salicylate (cis- and trans- mixture)	99.6	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	IAMC	71617-10-2	Isoamyl methoxycinnamate	99.2	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	ОС	6197-30-4	2-Ethylhexyl-2-cyano-3,3- diphenyl-2-propenoate	99.2	Sigma-Aldrich
	OD-PABA	21245-02-3	2-Ethylhexyl 4-(dimethylamino) benzoate	99.1	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	UV-P	2440-22-4	2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4- methylphenol	99.9	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	UV-PS	3147-76-0	2-(5-tert-butyl-2-hydroxyphenyl) benzotriazole	99.6	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	UV-326	3896-11-5	2-tert-butyl-6-(5- chlorobenzotriazol-2-yl)-4- methylphenol	99.6	Sigma-Aldrich
	UV-327	3864-99-1	2,4-ditert-butyl-6-(5- chlorobenzotriazol-2-yl) phenol	99.9	Sigma-Aldrich

	UV-328	25973-55-1	2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-bis-(1,1- dimethyl-propyl)-phenol	99.9	Sigma-Aldrich
	UV-329	3147-75-9	2-(benzotriazol-2-yl)-4- (2,4,4trimethylpentan-2-yl) phenol	99.9	TCI Deutschland GmbH
	UV-350	36437-37-3	2-(benzotriazol-2-yl)-6-butan2-yl- 4-tert-butylphenol	99.3	AccuStandard/ amchro GmbH
	UV-320	3846-71-7	2-(benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-di-tert- butylphenol	100	AccuStandard/ amchro GmbH
	UV-234	70321-86-7	2-(benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-bis (2- phenylpropan-2-yl) phenol	99.9	TCI Deutschland GmbH
Internal standards	4-MBC-d <sub>4</sub>	N/A	3-(4-Methylbenzylidene-d <sub>4</sub> ) camphor	98.3	CDN Isotopes/ EQ Laboratories GmbH
	BP-3- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>6</sub>	N/A	2-Hydroxy-4-methoxy benzophenone-(phenyl- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>6</sub> )	99	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories/ LGC Standards GmbH
	EHMC-d <sub>15</sub>	N/A	4-Methoxycinnamate-(2-ethyl-d₅- hexyl-2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,6-d₁0)	99.3	Sigma-Aldrich
	HMS-d4	N/A	2-Hydroxybenzoic-d₄ acid 3,3,5- trimethylcyclohexyl ester	98.2	Sigma-Aldrich
	OC-d <sub>15</sub>	N/A	Octocrylene-(2-ethyl-d5-hexyl- 2,3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,6-d10)	99.7	Sigma-Aldrich
	Allyl-bzt	2170-39-0	2-(2H-Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4- methyl,-6-(2-propenyl) phenol	100	AccuStandard/ amchro GmbH
	UV-P-d <sub>4</sub>	N/A	2-(2-Hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)- benzotriazole-d₄	99.4	CAMPRO Scientific GmbH
	UV-328-d4	N/A	2-(Benzotriazol-2-yl)-4,6-bis-(1,1- dimethyl-propyl)-phenol-d <sub>4</sub>	99.0	CAMPRO Scientific GmbH
Injection standards	BP-d <sub>10</sub>	22583-75-1	Benzophenone-d <sub>10</sub>	99.1	Sigma-Aldrich
	FOSA- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>8</sub>	N/A	Perfluoro-1-[ <sup>13</sup> C <sub>8</sub> ]- octanesulfonamide	> 97	Wellington Laboratories/ CAMPRO Scientific GmbH

#### 2 **Instrumental Method**

#### Table S3: Optimized APPI source parameters in positive and negative operation mode.

	Positive mode	Negative mode
iFunnel parameters:	150/60	160/120
High/Low Pressure RF [V]		
Gas Flow [L/min]	12	11
Gas Temperature [°C]	180	180
Nebulizer [psi]	30	50
Vaporizer [°C]	300	300
Capillary [V]	3000	1500
Dopant	5% toluene	5% toluene

# 27 28 29 30

Table S4: LC-MS/MS mass transitions and retention times for target analytes and mass-labeled standards. The upper product ion was used as quantifier and the one below as qualifier.

	Analyte	Retention time (C18 column) [min]	Precursor ion (m/z)	Product ions (m/z)	Collision Energy (V)	CAV (V)
APPI +		10.9	255.2	105.1	32	1
	4-1VIDC	10.0	233.2	43.0	48	1
	2 00	0 7	220.1	151.0	16	2
	BF-5	0.2	229.1	76.9	44	2
	PD 12	15.0	277.2	137.0	32	1
	DP-12	15.9	527.2	215.0	16	1
	DDT	10 F	766 5	468.1	60	3
	DB1	18.5	/00.5	654.3	48	3
	FUNAC	12 1/12 0	201.2	179.1	4	2
	EHIVIC	13.1/13.0	291.2	161.0	12	2
	5.1.7	17.8	000 5	711.2	52	2
	EHI	(C8 column)	823.5	599.1	56	2
		45.7	406.26	196.1	40	1
	HALS-445	15.7	406.26	91.0	52	1
		10.0*		161.0	12	1
	IAMC	10.2*	249.2	178.9	4	1
		10.0		249.9	4	2
	UC	12.0	362.2	231.8	20	2
		12.4	270.2	151.0	32	1
	OD-PABA	13.1	278.2	166.1	20	1
		10.0	226.4	76.9	48	3
	UV-P	10.6	226.1	107.1	16	3
		10.0		212.1	20	1
	UV-PS	13.6	268.2	57.0	24	1
		10.0	246.4	259.9	16	2
	UV-326	18.0	316.1	57.1	28	2
				57.1	32	1
	UV-327	19.5	328.2	302.1	20	1
				282.1	24	1
	UV-328	19.2	352.2	43.1	40	1
		4.5.4		56.9	32	2
	UV-329	16.4	324.2	65.0	80	2
	UV-350	17.5	324.2	268.1	20	1

				57.1	32	1
	111/ 220	17.0	224.2	268.1	24	1
	00-520	-320 17.9 324.2	57.1	36	1	
	111/ 224	17.0	110 2	370.2	20	1
	07-234	17.5	448.2	91.1	64	1
	A MPC d.	10.7	250.2	216.0	20	2
	4-1VIDC-04	10.7	233.2	43.1	56	2
		4.9 (C8 column)	102.2	110.1	12	5
	BP-U <sub>10</sub>	5.9 (C18 column)	195.2	81.9	36	5
		0 1	225 1	150.9	20	1
	DP-5- 1C6	0.2	255.1	55.0	80	1
	EHMC due	12 5*	206.2	180.1	4	1
	Enivic-u <sub>15</sub>	13.5	300.3	161.0	12	1
	OC due	11.0	2772	251.0	4	5
00-0	0C-015	11.7	5,7.5	233.0	24	2
	Allyl_bzt	15.2	266.1	119.1	16	1
	Allyi-bzt	13.2	200.1	91.0	40	1
	UV-P-d.	10.6	220.1	76.9	20	3
	00-1-04	10.0	230.1	107.0	52	3
	11/-338-4	10.1	356.2	286.0	24	1
	07-328-04	19.1	550.2	43.1	40	1
APPI -	ЕНС	12.0	210.2	137.1	12	3
	LIIS	(C8 column)	249.2	93.0	28	3
	ния	11.9/12.2	261.1	92.9	28	2
	111013	(C8 column)	201.1	136.9	12	2
	HMS-d4	11.8/12.1	265.2	140.9	20	3
	111013-04	(C8 column)	203.2	97.0	28	3
	FOSA- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>8</sub>	9.2 (C8 column)	506.1	77.9	0	2

\* Only one isomer present in standards



35

36 Figure S1: Exemplary LC-MS/MS chromatograms of (A) a 1 ng/µL standard solution of UV stabilizers and 37 mass-labeled standards and (B) a sample. 1) BP-d<sub>10</sub>, 2) BP-3 (dark blue) and BP-3-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub> (light blue), 3) IAMC, 4) UV-38 P (pink) and UV-P-d<sub>4</sub> (violet), 5) 4-MBC and 4-MBC-d<sub>4</sub> (both black), 6) OC (dark blue) and OC-d<sub>15</sub> (light blue), 7) 39 OD-PABA, 8) UV-PS (orange), 9) EHMC and EHMC-d15 (both violet), 10) Allyl-bzt, 11) HALS-445, 12) BP-12, 13) 40 UV-329, 14) UV-350, 15) UV-320 (violet), 16) UV-234 (black), 17) UV-326 (blue), 18) UV-328 and UV-328-d4 (both 41 red), and 19) UV-327. Only quantifiers are displayed.

42



45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 48
 49
 49
 40
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 43
 44
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 41
 42
 41
 42
 42
 43
 44
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 41
 42
 41
 42
 42
 43
 44
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 49
 40
 41
 41
 41
 42
 41
 42
 42
 43
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44
 44

#### 53 3 Method validation

#### 54 **3.1** Recovery rates

55 Table S5 summarizes absolute and corrected (by internal standard) recovery rates obtained during method 56 validation. For both "Recovery Test 1" and "Recovery Test 2" fourfold measurements were conducted (n = 4). 57 Samples were spiked with an absolute quantity of 10 ng of each native compound and 10 µL internal standard mix 58 (which equals 2 - 10 ng of each mass-labeled compound). Both absolute and corrected recoveries were calculated 59 according to equation 1. In both cases the concentration of each compound quantified in spiked samples (csample) 60 was divided by the concentration added (*c<sub>spike</sub>*). The quantification conducted for the **absolute recovery** is based on 61 the relative response ratio of the target analyte to the amount of the injection standard (for volume correction of 62 the sample extract and to compensate for e.g. sensitivity changes during LC measurement). The quantification for 63 the **corrected recovery** is based on the ratio of the target analyte to the amount of the assigned **internal standard**. 64 This corrects for any losses or effects during sample preparation and measurement.

65

66 (Eq. 1) 
$$Recovery [\%] = \frac{c_{Sample}}{c_{Snike}} * 100$$

67

The corrected recoveries for both "Recovery Test 1" and "Recovery Test 2" are in the range of 80 - 120% resulting in a reliable quantification. The only exception is BP-12, as higher corrected recoveries (158 ± 13 and 183 ± 23) are obtained. Therefore, the calculated concentrations for BP-12 have to be considered as semiquantitative, as the resulting concentrations are overestimated.

72

73 In this study, 74 sediment samples have been analyzed in double measurements. For all samples, the absolute 74 recoveries of the internal (mass-labeled) standards have been calculated. As presented in the main manuscript, the 75 mean absolute recoveries were  $74 \pm 27\%$  (4-MBC-d<sub>4</sub>),  $56 \pm 28\%$  (BP-3-<sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>),  $70 \pm 31\%$  (EHMC-d<sub>15</sub>),  $58 \pm 29\%$ 76 (HMS-d<sub>4</sub>), 72 ± 31% (OC-d<sub>15</sub>), 81 ± 22% (UV-P-d<sub>4</sub>), 87 ± 61% (UV-328-d<sub>4</sub>), and 71 ± 39% (Allyl-bzt). In some samples, 77 the absolute recovery of some internal standards was low (~ 30%), wherefore a further spiking experiment was 78 conducted. The sample LzB05 was spiked with 20 ng of each native compound and 10 µL internal standard mix. In 79 contrast to the samples YS30 and BS08 used in Recovery tests 1 and 2, this sample had to be filtrated twice. The 80 first filtration was done after the volume reduction of the extract to 150 µL (see main manuscript) and a second 81 filtration was done after water addition. The second filtration was necessary to avoid blocking of the LC column due 82 to precipitated matrix components. In "Recovery Test 3" of Table S5 the recovery results are presented. Even 83 though the absolute recovery for most benzotriazoles, EHS, and HMS are low (30-40%) the corrected recoveries are 84 within an acceptable range.

86 87 Table S5: Absolute and corrected (by internal standard) recoveries obtained from spiking experiments during

#### method validation.

Analyte	Internal	Recove	ry Test 1	Recovery Test 2		Recovery Test 3	
	Standard	(YS30	; n = 4)	(BS08; n = 4)		(LzB05; n = 3)	
		Absolute	Corrected	Absolute	Corrected	Absolute	Corrected
		Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery
		[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]
EHS	HMS-d <sub>4</sub>	61 ± 6	105 ± 7	60 ± 2	88 ± 2	41 ± 2	93 ± 5
HMS	HMS-d <sub>4</sub>	54 ± 6	94 ± 2	52 ± 2	83 ± 5	37 ± 5	83 ± 3
4-MBC	4-MBC-d <sub>4</sub>	73 ± 6	100 ± 4	83 ± 5	97 ± 2	84 ± 3	100 ± 7
OC	OC-d <sub>15</sub>	99 ± 10	108 ± 6	106 ± 11	103 ± 3	94 ± 3	104 ± 4
EHMC	EHMC-d <sub>15</sub>	91 ± 6	101 ± 2	93 ± 6	100 ± 3	83 ± 6	101 ± 2
IAMC	EHMC-d <sub>15</sub>	89 ± 8	99 ± 3	89 ± 7	98 ± 3	89 ± 3	108 ± 5
OD-PABA	EHMC-d <sub>15</sub>	80 ± 7	90 ± 3	89 ± 6	97 ± 2	81 ± 4	99 ± 3
HALS-445	Allyl-bzt;	82 ± 7	92 ± 4	89 ± 8	95 ± 8	39 ± 15	108 ± 17
	UV-328-d4						
BP-3	BP-3- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>6</sub>	35 ± 3	92 ± 4	60 ± 15	106 ± 5	59 ± 13	99 ± 11
BP-12	BP-3- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>6</sub>	62 ± 14	158 ± 13	90 ± 12	183 ± 23	51 ± 19	87 ± 32
UV-P	Allyl-bzt;	85 ± 8	97 ± 1	82 ± 6	96 ± 2	85 ± 4	101 ± 2
	UV-P-d <sub>4</sub>						
UV-PS	Allyl-bzt;	85 ± 8	99 ± 4	94 ± 5	110 ± 6	85 ± 4	101 ± 7
	UV-P-d <sub>4</sub>						
UV-234	Allyl-bzt;	94 ± 6	105 ± 10	96 ± 13	104 ± 2	39 ± 16	104 ± 19
	UV-328-d4						
UV-320	Allyl-bzt;	83 ± 5	96 ± 7	91 ± 10	99 ± 5	46 ± 19	125 ± 16
	UV-328-d4						
UV-350	Allyl-bzt;	85 ± 6	100 ± 5	94 ± 11	101 ± 6	49 ± 17	136 ± 16
	UV-328-d4						
UV-326	Allyl-bzt;	87 ± 6	102 ± 2	88 ± 13	96 ± 5	41 ± 18	111 ± 19
	UV-328-d4						
UV-327	Allyl-bzt;	92 ± 8	105 ± 4	96 ± 14	104 ± 4	34 ±9	95 ± 9
	UV-328-d4						
UV-328	Allyl-bzt;	82 ± 7	99 ± 2	94 ± 13	99 ± 2	35 ± 16	96 ± 15
	UV-328-d4						
UV-329	Allyl-bzt	91 ± 10	102 ± 2	97 ± 8	108 ± 2	69 ± 14	96 ± 11
DBT	-	< 5	-	< 5	-	< 5	-
EHT	-	< 5	-	< 5	-	< 5	-
HMS-d <sub>4</sub>	-	55 ± 7	-	64 ± 2	-	45 ± 4	-
4-MBC-d <sub>4</sub>	-	71 ± 4	-	86 ± 4	-	84 ± 5	-
OC-d <sub>15</sub>	-	95 ± 8	-	101 ± 6	-	91 ± 6	-
EHMC-d <sub>15</sub>	-	88 ± 5	-	92 ± 1	-	83 ± 5	-
BP-3- <sup>13</sup> C <sub>6</sub>	-	40 ± 11	-	54 ± 20	-	61 ± 19	-
UV-P-d <sub>4</sub>	-	87 ± 10	-	89 ± 11	-	84 ± 5	-
UV-328-d4	-	85 ± 5	-	93 ± 15	-	36 ± 11	-
Allyl-bzt	-	90 ± 5	-	92 ± 7	-	72 ± 7	-

#### 90 3.2 MDLs and MQLs

91 Method detection limits (MDLs) were derived from either the mean blank values plus three times the standard

92 deviation or the signal-to-noise ratio of three (S/N = 3), whichever approach yielded the highest value. Accordingly,

93 method quantification limits (MQLs) were derived from either the mean blank values plus ten times the standard

94 deviation or the signal-to-noise ratio of ten (S/N = 10). The MDL and MQL values were calculated for every sample

95 batch separately. Blank corrected MDLs and MQLs, calculated for a sample volume of 8 g dw, are presented in

96 Table S6. For some batches, the MDL and MQL values of some substances are slightly higher due to changes in

97 blank values (e.g. after changing o-rings in the ASE caps).

98

99 Table S6: Method detection limits (MDLs), method quantification limits (MQLs) based on a sample volume of 100 8 g dw. All values are blank corrected.

Analyte	MDL	MQL	
	[pg/g dw]	[pg/g dw]	
UV-P	4	14	
UV-PS	20*	70*	
UV-320	4	14	
UV-350	5*	17*	
UV-326	14	46	
UV-327	17	57	
UV-328	7	24	
UV-329	11	37	
UV-234	6	21	
BP-3	30*	100*	
BP-12	22	74	
4-MBC	120*	380*	
OC	84	280	
EHMC	16	60	
IAMC	20*	70*	
HALS-445	3	10	
OD-PABA	1	4	
EHS	20	70	
HMS	7	24	

\* calculated over S/N from spiked matrix sample

#### 103 104 105 Sampling and sample information 4

#### Table S7: Detailed sampling information and TOC values of each sample.

Station	Sampling data	Latitude	Longitude	Water depth	TOC [%]
Station	Sampling date	°N	°E	[m]	
LZB01	02.07.2016	37.45	119.05	5.5	0.19
LZB02	02.07.2016	37.35	119.15	6.5	0.21
LZB03	02.07.2016	37.45	119.25	8.5	0.23
LZB04	02.07.2016	37.53	119.18	4	0.03
LZB05	02.07.2016	37.65	119.35	8	0.06
LZB06	02.07.2016	37.45	119.45	11.5	0.27
LZB07	02.07.2016	37.34	119.34	8.5	0.18
LZB08	03.07.2016	37.34	119.55	10.5	0.10
LZB09	03.07.2016	37.27	119.78	8	0.12
LZB10	03.07.2016	37.45	119.65	13	0.12
LZB11	03.07.2016	37.65	119.55	14	0.19
LZB12	03.07.2016	37.65	119.75	15	0.19
YS01	29.06.2016	36.00	121.34	37	0.24
YS02	29.06.2016	35.99	122.66	65	0.83
YS03	29.06.2016	35.99	123.50	75	0.87
YS04	30.06.2016	35.99	124.00	77	0.72
YS05	30.06.2016	35.00	124.00	81	0.44
YS06	30.06.2016	34.99	123.50	72	0.58
YS07	30.06.2016	34.99	122.66	70	0.64
YS08	01.07.2016	35.00	121.65	45	0.20
YS09	01.07.2016	35.00	121.00	35	0.20
YS10	01.07.2016	34.01	121.66	20	0.16
YS11	02.07.2016	33.00	123.99	49	0.33
YS12	03.07.2016	32.99	123.50	37	0.46
YS13	03.07.2016	32.98	122.34	26	0.28
YS14	03.07.2016	32.99	122.00	17	0.05
YS15	03.07.2016	32.50	122.21	23	0.26
YS16	04.07.2016	31.98	123.99	40	0.15
YS17	05.07.2016	35.49	123.49	75	0.75
YS18	05.07.2016	35.49	122.97	71	0.75
YS19	08.07.2016	36.46	122.96	71	0.58
YS20	08.07.2016	36.82	122.59	37	0.16
YS21	08.07.2016	36.97	122.63	30	0.25
YS22	08.07.2016	36.99	122.89	29	0.18
YS23	08.07.2016	37.00	123.42	73	0.34
YS24	08.07.2016	37.00	123.99	75	0.20
YS25	09.07.2016	37.39	123.97	70	0.14
YS26	09.07.2016	37.40	122.82	29	0.29
YS27	09.07.2016	37.89	123.05	61	0.34
YS28	09.07.2016	39.13	123.24	64	0.11
YS29	09.07.2016	38.43	123.47	65	0.07
YS30	10.07.2016	38.96	123.90	54	0.06
YS31	10.07.2016	39.22	123.60	36	0.11
YS32	10.07.2016	38.74	123.00	54	0.19
YS33	10.07.2016	37.69	122.47	27	0.27
YS34	10.07.2016	37.69	121.99	22	0.18

YS35	11.07.2016	37.94	121.99	44	0.79
YS36	11.07.2016	38.19	121.99	54	0.77
YS37	11.07.2016	38.48	121.99	50	0.53
YS38	11.07.2016	38.70	122.00	51	0.28
YS39	11.07.2016	38.26	121.26	40	0.18
YS40	11.07.2016	37.91	121.15	20	0.29
BS01	12.07.2016	38.16	120.45	22	0.41
BS02	12.07.2016	38.34	120.44	29	0.33
BS03	12.07.2016	38.33	120.17	28	0.25
BS04	12.07.2016	38.32	119.78	25	0.36
BS05	12.07.2016	38.31	119.44	24	0.49
BS06	12.07.2016	38.49	118.98	23	0.42
BS07	12.07.2016	38.81	118.97	30	0.21
BS08	13.07.2016	39.00	118.97	20	0.29
BS09	13.07.2016	39.17	120.09	22	0.27
BS10	13.07.2016	39.12	120.37	21	0.10
BS11	13.07.2016	39.05	120.61	36	0.26
BS12	13.07.2016	39.61	120.61	29	0.37
BS13	13.07.2016	40.06	120.44	26	0.40
BS14	13.07.2016	39.32	120.23	23	0.25
BS15	13.07.2016	39.00	119.95	22	0.36
BS16	14.07.2016	38.63	119.37	26	0.57
BS17	14.07.2016	38.47	119.16	24	0.47
BS18	14.07.2016	38.04	119.18	14	0.30
BS19	14.07.2016	37.75	119.38	13	0.27
BS20	14.07.2016	37.75	119.93	16	0.60
BS21	14.07.2016	37.75	120.12	16	0.52
BS22	14.07.2016	37.94	120.30	17	0.26



110 Figure S3: Logarithmically transformed values of total UV stabilizer concentrations plotted against the TOC content of each sample. The Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated using OriginPro 9.1 (OriginLab Corporation).

#### 115 6 Potential Environmental Risk

116

#### 117 Table S8: Data used for HQ calculation.

UV stabilizer	Organism	Toxicity data [ng/g]	Assessment Factor	PNEC [ng/g]	Reference
EHMC	Potamopyrus antipodarum (snail)	NOEC = 80	100	0.8	Kaiser et al. (2012)
OC	QSAR	PNEC = 180	-	180	Pintado-Herrera et al. (2017)
EHS	QSAR	PNEC = 60	-	60	Pintado-Herrera et al. (2017)
HMS	QSAR	PNEC = 22	-	22	Pintado-Herrera et al. (2017)

118

#### 119

#### 120 **References**

121Kaiser, D., Sieratowicz, A., Zielke, H., Oetken, M., Hollert, H., Oehlmann, J., 2012. Ecotoxicological effect122characterisation of widely used organic UV filters. Environ Pollut 163, 84–90. doi:12310.1016/j.envpol.2011.12.014

124Pintado-Herrera, M.G., Combi, T., Corada-Fernandez, C., Gonzalez-Mazo, E., Lara-Martin, P.A., 2017. Occurrence125and spatial distribution of legacy and emerging organic pollutants in marine sediments from the Atlantic126coast (Andalusia, SW Spain). Sci Total Environ 605-606, 980-994. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.055